



Section B

300 Area Clean Up

PROJECT MANAGERS

B. Bilson, RL
(509) 376-6628

N.C. Boyter, FH
(509) 373-3725

INTRODUCTION

The 300 Area Clean Up consists of the Infrastructure Zone and the 340 Facility Deactivation, Project Baseline Summary (PBS) RL-RC02, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 3.1.2.12 and 3.1.2.15.

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, the Safety, Conduct of Operations, Milestone Achievement, and Cost/Schedule data contained herein is as of December 31, 2001. All other information is as of January 23, 2002.

Fiscal-year-to-date milestone performance (EA, DOE-HQ, and RL) shows that one milestone is on schedule.

NOTABLE ACCOMPLISHMENTS

340 Facility Deactivation — The work package for repair of the wind-damaged roof was completed and is being routed for final approval. The 340 Facility cognizant engineer completed the design authority portion of the quarterly emission system trend reporting.

Infrastructure Zone — Nothing to report.

SAFETY

All River Corridor Project Safety and Conduct of Operations information is reported in section E.

BREAKTHROUGHS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

Breakthroughs

Nothing to report.

Opportunities for Improvement

Conduct of Operations Improvement Initiative — RC has initiated a Conduct of Operations Improvement Plan to improve organizational performance, and to create a culture change regarding effective implementation of Conduct of Operations principles. The RC has essentially completed the activities identified in the Conduct of Operations Improvement Plan. Each facility and participating organization has spent time reviewing its Conduct of Operations Matrix, identifying areas of improvement and communicating results to the staff. Project directors provide a summary review of progress to the RC Vice President at the two-, four-, and six-month milestones. The two and four month reviews demonstrated that RC facilities are actively participating at all levels, including at the worker level. Different projects have different levels of completion, however all are essentially on track for scheduled completion. The six-month status meeting is planned for February 7, 2002.

UPCOMING ACTIVITIES

340 Facility Deactivation — Continue to conduct routine surveillance and maintenance activities.

Milestone Achievement FH Contract Milestones

MSN	Title	Type	Due Date	Actual Date	Forecast Date	Status/Comments
TRP-01-948	Select Tech for Removal of Waste from 340 Vault Tanks	RL	9/30/02		9/30/02	Activities are on schedule

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

None to report.

FY 2002 SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE – ALL FUND TYPES FY TO DATE STATUS – (\$000)

		FISCAL YEAR TO DATE								
Sub-Project		BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV	%	CV	%	BAC	EAC
PBS RC02										
WBS										
3.1.2.2	Zone B	0	0	2	0	0%	(2)	0%	0	0
PBS RC02										
WBS										
3.1.2.3	Zone C	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0
PBS RC02										
WBS										
3.1.2.4	Zone D	0	0	12	0	0%	(12)	0%	8	8
PBS RC02										
WBS										
3.1.2.8	Zone H	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0
PBS RC02										
WBS										
3.1.2.9	Zone J	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0
PBS RC02										
WBS										
3.1.2.10	Zone K	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0
PBS RC02										
WBS	Infrastructure									
3.1.2.12	Zone	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	77	77
PBS RC02										
WBS	340 Facility									
3.1.2.15	Deactivation	305	274	236	(31)	-10%	38	14%	1,275	1,275
Total		305	274	251	(31)	-10%	22	8%	1,360	1,360

Note: Costs in WBS 3.1.2.2 – 3.1.2.10 have inadvertently been charged to these accounts and are in the process of being corrected.

FY TO DATE SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE

The unfavorable schedule variance of \$31K (10 percent) is due to delays in procuring waste boxes and performing special case waste work scope. The favorable cost variance of \$22K (8 percent) is within established thresholds.

For all active sub-PBSs and TTPs associated with the Operations/Field Office, Fiscal Year to Date (FYTD) Cost and Schedule variances exceeding + / - 10 percent or one million dollars require submission of narratives to explain the variance.

Schedule Variance Analysis: (-\$0.03M)

340 Facility Deactivation — 3.1.2.15/RC02

Description and Cause: The unfavorable schedule variance was primarily due to delay with special case waste work scope pending final work scope decisions by the Resource Management Board (RMB) and delay in procuring waste boxes resulting from unavailability of key staff.

Impact: No Impact.

Corrective Action: Waste boxes have been procured in January. Deferment of work scope as a result of RMB decision will be documented via the baseline change request process.

All other sub-projects are within established threshold.

Cost Variance Analysis: (+\$0.02M)

340 Facility Deactivation — 3.1.2.15/RC02

Description and Cause: The favorable cost variance was primarily due to underruns in the maintenance service activities that are not required at this time.

Impact: No Impact.

Corrective Action: No corrective action required.

All other sub-projects are within established threshold.

ISSUES

Technical, Regulatory, External, and DOE Issues and DOE Requests

Issue: None at this time.

Impacts: None at this time.

Corrective Action: None at this time.

BASLINE CHANGE REQUESTS CURRENTLY IN PROCESS

None to report.